From: Michael Horn <michael@theyfly.com>
Date: August 27, 2008 2:35:32 PM PDT

To: Derek Bartholomaus <derek@iigwest.com>

Cc: "James Underdown randi@randi.org" <jim@cfiwest.org>, Philip Plait <thebadastronomer@gmail.com>, mshermer@skeptic.com, Jeremy Vaeni

<cultureofcontact@gmail.com>

Subject: Re: Early Warning System

Not at all. I posted a typo in an article. When you repeated it in the film I realized that you didn't do your due diligence. And when you singed off on it with my rebuttal of *your* error I knew that, eventually, we'd have some more fun.

The problem is, once, that *you* didn't do *your* due diligence. The source material was offered, repeatedly, but you were too pompous and incompetent to review it.

MΗ

Hello Michael.

Thank you for admitting on the record that your website intentionally posts false, misleading, or fraudulent articles in order to try and support the claims of Billy Meier.

-Derek

On Aug 27, 2008, at 1:17 PM, Michael Horn wrote:

Hi Derek,

Yes, thanks for taking the hook on that one too! Of course that's where you found it but...don't you remember my suggesting - several times - that you view the videotape of Vogel's analysis or that you read it in the Investigation Report, along with info about the other parties that also analyzed the metal? Hmmmm?

We left that one there but put the correct info, for all the world to see at http://theyfly.com/PDF/Scientific%20Experts.PDF where it's been for several years...including since when you agreed to do the interview.

And do note that I pointed you towards all of the material in the investigation, at least 1,300 pages, many, many times. But instead of actually investigating you copied and based your bravdo-cloaked presentation on only what I'd written in that one version of the information.

Now, since the actual video and written record of what Vogel actually did say has been widely available, just how brilliant are you, having done no real homework?

This was also evident in your, now retracted, inane comments about "same trees equals model trees". It's also evident in your refusal to support your claims about a model in the film.

So, now when I put you on the hot seat, you go back to my site to find out where you picked up the incorrect info but, naturally, neglect to notice the right one. Now how on earth could I have known that you were just a pseudo-investigator and that you'd not do your own due diligence, that you wouldn't go to the source material itself?

Hey, could I be...psychic?

Surprised?

MH www.theyfly.com

Hello Michael.

I would be happy to tell you where I got that piece of information. It came from a website that you may be familiar with called www.theyfly.com If you go to the "Evidence" pulldown menu on the front page and click on "Scientific Analysis of Evidence" you will get the attached PDF.

On page 2 it states the following:

Marcel Vogel: Research chemist for IBM for twenty-two years, held thirty-two patents, and invented the magnetic disk coating memory system still used in IBM disk memories. A specialist in the conversion of energy inside crystals, Vogel probed crystalline structures with the most complete optical microscopic equipment available in the world - a system of scanning electron microscopes costing \$250,000. Lieut. Col. Wendelle Stevens, USAF (Ret.): One of the original investigators in the Meier case. In 1979, he sent Vogel crystals and metal samples Meier had received from the Plejaren. Vogel reported, "When I touched the oxide with a stainless steel probe, red streaks appeared and the oxide coating disappeared. I just touched the metal like that, and it started to deoxidize and become a pure metal. I have never seen a phenomenon like that before." Of another metal sample containing nearly every element in the periodic table, Vogel stated, "Each pure element was bonded to each of the others, yet somehow retained its own identity." At 500 X magnification thulium was revealed. "Thulium exists only in minute amounts. It is exceedingly expensive, far beyond platinum, and rare to come by. Someone would have to have an extensive metallurgical knowledge even to be aware of a composition of this type", said Vogel. At 1600 X Vogel said, "A whole new world appears in the specimen.

There are structures within structures - very unusual." At 2500 X he found that the sample was, "metal, but at the same time ... it is crystal!"

I agree that publicly stating such a thing for several years is silly and incompetent, but the incompetence is all yours Michael. And your incompetence has been on display to the world since, at least, 2004.

I look forward to your soon to be published press release announcing your incompetence. By the way, don't bother updating your website in order to hide your incompetence because I have already saved an archive of it in its current form.

Have a good day.

Derek Bartholomaus Independent Investigations Group

<MeierEvidence.pdf>
On Aug 27, 2008, at 12:22 PM, Michael Horn wrote:

Yoo hoo, Derek!

Since I'm always gracious enough to give you plenty of warning of how thoroughly I'm going to reveal and skewer your incompetence, I hope that you didn't think that I had forgotten about yet another faux pas of yours, i.e. your claim that Marcel Vogel had used - are you ready - an electron scanning microscope to detect the presence of thulium in the metal sample!

And of course you're on record for all the world to see (which it is and they are, etc.) making that silly, false, statement.

I hope that you have informed your fellow pseudo-scientists of your planned transition into the field of satire and comedy, as it will eliminate the necessity for them to do a Vaughn Rees on you.

But let's keep you around just a little bit longer as your contribution to the success of my personal mission, with visitors to my site from 137 countries, is obviously worthy of acknowledgement...and further promotion.

And, as advertised, I'm also going to do some voluntary promotion for Dr. Phil "Show Me the Evidence!" Plait simply because I'm generous, just give me a little time.

You pal,

MH www.theyfly.com

P.S. Anything come to mind yet about your "model UFO" theory and the hillside film segment, hmmmm?